





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/389,858	09/02/1999	ROBERT BARRITZ	P/1318-38	5968
2352	7590 12/04/2001			
	OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN		EXAMINER	
	E OF THE AMERICAS NY 100368403	3	ELISCA, F	PIERRE E
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2001	:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(o/

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	''	I	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	RIBERT, BARRITZ Group Art Unit	
	PIERRE · E	ROBERT, BARRITZ Group Art Unit LEISCA 2161	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	119/2001		
This action is FINAL .			
Since this application is in condition for allowance in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Q			
A shortened statutory period for response to this act is longer, from the mailing date of this communication application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 1337 CFR 1.136(a).	on. Failure to respond with	nin the period for response will cause th	
Disposition of Claims			
☐ Claim(s)/-/7, AND /9-60			
Of the above, claim(s)/8		(Stare (withdrawn) from consideration	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
X Claim(s) 1-17, AND 19-60		is/are rejected.	
Claim(s)		is/are objected to.	
Claims	are subject	ct to restriction or election requirement.	
·	ent Drawing Review PTO-	948	
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pat □ The drawing(s) filed on	s/are objected to by the Exemples is appear is a series. Examiner. ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	caminer. oproved	
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pate □ The drawing(s) filed on	s/are objected to by the Exempler. Examiner. Ign priority under 35 U.S.C ED copies of the priority do e/Serial Number)	caminer. oproved disapproved. C. § 119(a)-(d). ocuments have been	
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pate □ The drawing(s) filed on	s/are objected to by the Exemples is agreed as a series and a series are as a series are a series are as a series are as a series are as a series are a series	caminer. coproved	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pate ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	s/are objected to by the Exemples is agreed as a series and a series are as a series are a series are as a series are as a series are as a series are as a series are a series a	caminer. coproved	
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pate □ The drawing(s) filed on	s/are objected to by the Exemples is agreed as a series and a series are as a series are a series are as a series are as a series are as a series are as a series are a series a	caminer. coproved	
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pate □ The drawing(s) filed on	s/are objected to by the Exemples is agreed as a series and a series are as a series are a series are as a series are as a series are as a series are as a series are a series a	caminer. coproved	
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pate □ The drawing(s) filed on	s/are objected to by the Exemples is agreed as a series and a series are as a series are a series are as a series are a series ar	caminer. coproved	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pate The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration	s/are objected to by the Exemples is agreed as a series and a series are as a series are a series are as a series are a series ar	caminer. coproved	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	s/are objected to by the Exemples is a ser. Examiner. Examiner. Eign priority under 35 U.S.C is a seried by the priority do seried Number) and from the International But it is a seried priority under 35 U.S.C	caminer. coproved	

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on 10/19/2001.
- 2. Claim 18 is canceled and claims 1-17, and 19-60 are remained.
- 3. The rejection to claims 1-17, and 19-60 under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Beck et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,138,139) in view of Li (U.S. Pat. No. 6,144,954) as set forth in the Office action mailed on 07/13/2001 is maintained.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 10/19/2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

REMARKS

5. In claim 17, Applicant adds the newly limitation wherein said associated information stored in said configuration storage includes financial information concerning at least one of the location system.

Application/Control Number: 09/389,858

Page 3

Art Unit: 2161

device group and device of said configuration. However, this limitation is also disclosed by Beck in

the abstract, lines 1-14, database, col 8, lines 1-11.

a. Applicant's representative argues that Beck does not teach or suggest: " multimedia

communication center". As the Examiner has stated in the Office action mailed on 07/13/2001, page

3, this limitation is disclosed by Beck in the abstract, specifically wherein it is stated that a

method/apparatus for supporting diverse interaction paths within a multimedia communication call

center.

b. The prior art of record do not teach or suggest: "computer knowledge base". However, the

Examiner disagrees with the Applicant's representative because this limitation is disclosed by Beck

in the abstract, col 10, lines 54-67, col 11, lines 1-16.

c. Applicant's representative also argues that the prior art of record do not teach or suggest: "

financial cost of ownership". As the Examiner has stated in the Office action mailed on 07/13/2001,

page 3, this limitation is disclosed by Li in col 13, lines 61-67, col 14, lines 1-11, lines 32-54,

specifically wherein it is stated that an expert system that has a knowledge base, an inference engine,

a knowledge acquisition module, and explanatory interface for rapidly generating, reliable, low cost

knowledge bases.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy

as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/389,858

Art Unit: 2161

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS

from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the

mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the

date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory

period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and relied upon is considered to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to

Pierre Eddy Elisca at (703) 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday,

and Wednesday from 5:30AM. to 6:00PM.

If any attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9769.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

OR:

(703) 305-3718 (for informal or draft communications, pleased label

Page 4

Art Unit: 2161

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA.,

Sixth floor (receptionist).

The Official Fax Numbers for TC-2100 are:

After-final (703) 746-7238

Official (703) 746-7239

Non-Official/Draft (703) 746-7240

JAMES P. TRAMMELL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Pierre Eddy Elisca

Patent Examiner

December 03, 2001